

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,387	08/21/2003	Wei William Lee	TI-26800.1	8080	
23494	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER		
	STRUMENTS INCORE	NOVACEK,	NOVACEK, CHRISTY L		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	7
W.	ì
ĸ	٠

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer:	10/645,387	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christy L. Novacek	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time! the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	bruary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		·				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	:						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.	· · · · · ·					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	* **						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ppromonty	· · · · /				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This office action is in response to the amendment filed February 28, 2005.

Response to Amendment

The amendment to the specification is sufficient to overcome the objection to the specification stated in the previous office action. Therefore, this objection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sahin et al. (US 6,624,064, previously cited) in view of Raoux et al. (US 6,136,388, previously cited) and Limb et al. ("Flexible fluorocarbon wire coatings by pulsed plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition").

Regarding claim 1, Sahin discloses using a plasma-enhanced CVD process to polymerize precursors on a surface (412) with gaps to form an amorphous fluorocarbon polymer layer (420) filling the gaps without voids (Fig. 6A-6C; col. 9, ln. 62 – col. 12, ln. 43). The gaps may have an aspect ratio of 3:1 (col. 9, ln. 66). Sahin does not disclose using a pulsed plasma to activate the precursors of the polymer. Like Sahin, Raoux discloses a process of using a plasma-enhanced CVD process to deposit an amorphous fluorocarbon dielectric film between metal line gaps on a substrate surface. Raoux teaches that it is advantageous to use a pulsed plasma to activate the precursors of the film because a pulsed plasma allows a manufacturer to tailor plasma chemistry versus plasma density to improve the film's characteristics (col. 24, ln. 46-64). Raoux teaches

that this pulsed plasma method is "particularly useful when depositing amorphous fluorocarbon and other low k dielectric films" (col. 24, ln. 61-63). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the pulsed plasma method taught by Raoux to deposit the fluorocarbon film of Sahin because Raoux teaches that a pulsed plasma improves the film's characteristics.

Raoux does not disclose the duty cycle (ration of ON time versus OFF time) is for the pulsed plasma process. Like Raoux, Limb discloses a method of depositing a fluorocarbon film using pulsed plasma CVD. Limb states that a duty cycle of 10 ms on and 400 ms (ratio of 1:40) can successfully deposit the fluorocarbon layer onto metal wires (pg. 1815, II. Experiment). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a ratio of around 1:40 for the pulsing of the plasma for the fluorocarbon deposition of Sahin because Limb teaches that this ratio can successfully deposit the fluorocarbon. It noted that Applicant's specification (pg. 17, next to last paragraph) discloses that the ratio of on time to off time can be varied and depends upon the precursors being used.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/645,387

Art Unit: 2822

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christy L. Novacek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1839.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLN

May 16, 2005

AMIR ZAKADIAN
MERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800